



புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry The Gazette of Puducherry

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற வெளியீடு

Publiée par Autorité

Published by Authority

எண்	புதுச்சேரி	செவ்வாய்க்கிழமை	2022 லு	மே மீ	24 ம
No.	21 Poudouchéry	Mardi	24	Mai	2022 (3 Jyaistha 1944)
No.	Puducherry	Tuesday	24th	May	2022

பொருளடக்கம்

SOMMAIRES

CONTENTS

	பக்கம்		Page		Page
அரசு அறிவிக்கைகள்	.. 376	Notifications du Gouvernement	.. 376	Government Notifications	.. 376
ஆபத்தான நிறுவனங்கள்	.. 388	Etablissements dangereux	.. 388	Dangerous Establishments	.. 388
சாற்றறிக்கைகள்	.. 389	Annonces	.. 389	Announcements	.. 389

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
OFFICE OF THE SUB-COLLECTOR (REVENUE) SOUTH, VILLIANUR

No. 2978/SCRS/LR/C1/2008-09/1393.

Puducherry, the 28th April 2022.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER (LAND REFORMS)

Present : Rishita Gupta, I.A.S.,
Sub-Collector (Revenue) South-cum-Authorised Officer (Land Reforms).

- Read* : 1. Publication of Notification under section 17(1) in the Extraordinary Gazette No. 9, dated 12-01-1976.
2. L.T.C.M.A Order, dated 10-03-1976 in the Court of the Principal Subordinate Judge, Pondicherry.
3. Proclamation Order, dated 22-09-2009 of the Authorised Officer (Land Reforms).
4. Order, dated 26-08-2021 in W.P.No. 8020 of 2021 in the High Court of Judicature at Madras.
5. Legal Opinion, dated 17-12-2021 of the Additional Government Pleader for Puducherry, High Court, Chennai.
6. Letter, dated 19-01-2022 of the Government Pleader for Puducherry, High Court, Chennai.
7. Opinion of the Law Department, Puducherry *vide* I.D.Note, dated 10-02-2022.

ORDER

Whereas, Land Reforms proceedings had been initiated to acquire surplus holdings of Tmt. Pauline Xavery, w/o. Raymond Xavery of Thirukanchi Revenue Village under the Pondicherry Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1973.

2. The brief history of the course of Land Reforms proceedings is detailed below:

On Scrutiny of the Revenue Records, it was found that Tmt. Pauline Xavery, w/o. Raymond Xavery of Thirukanji Revenue Village is holding land in excess of ceiling limit and the Authorised Officer issued summon to the Assessee Tmt. Pauline Xavery on 22-04-1975 to file a return in respect of her holdings in the Union territory of Puducherry on and from the appointed day *i.e.*, 24-01-1971 with all the required particulars under the section 8 (1) of the Pondicherry Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1973. In response to the summon served, the assessee had filed the return in Form-2 declaring her family land holding to an extent of 09-95-95 Ha. at Thirukanji Revenue Village. But, the scrutiny of the revenue records highlighted that the land stands registered in the name of Tmt. Pauline Xavery, w/o. Raymond Xavery, her son Thiru George Emile Xavery, s/o. Raymond Xavery and her daughter Josaline Xavery, d/o. Raymond Xavery. Hence, the assessee Tmt. Pauline Xavery was summoned for enquiry by the Authorised Officer to assess surplus holdings as per the extent and rules therein.

During the enquiry, Tmt. Pauline Xavery had stated that the entire property had been inherited from her father Thiru Rayappan Xavery and partition was effected on 23-12-1968 among the family members *i.e.*, between herself, her son Thiru George Emile Xavery and her daughter Josaline Xavery. Further, the assessee stated that her son was major on the date of partition itself, hence, his share may be excluded and her daughter being unmarried as on the appointed day *i.e.*, 24-01-1971, her share may be included in calculating the total extent of land held by the family.

Accordingly, on perusal of the documents and on consideration of the request, the Authorized Officer had declared that the total extent of land held by the family Tmt. Pauline Xavery as on appointed day is 6.5475 Std. Hectares and thus family headed by Tmt. Pauline Xavery is having the land in excess of the ceiling area prescribed under the Pondicherry Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1973.

3. Subsequently, the Authorised Officer had published draft statement under section 9 (5) notifying that the land to an extent 12-96-55 Ha. which is equivalent to 6.00 Std. Hectares in Thirukanchi Revenue Village to be declared as retention portion of the assessee within the ceiling limit and the land to an extent 1-64-25 Ha. equivalent to 0.5475 Std. Hectares in Thirukanchi Revenue Village to be declared as surplus portion, *vide* Extraordinary Gazette No. 170, dated 01-10-1975.

4. Whereas, after receipt of the Gazette copy of the draft statement notified under section 9 (5) of the Act, the Assessee filed an objection statement before the Authorised Officer on 03-11-1975 stating that under section 4 (4) of the Act it is provided that "in calculating the extent held by any person, any land which was transferred by sale, gift or otherwise or partitioned by that person after the appointed day, but, before the commencement of this act, shall be taken into account as if, such land had not been transferred or partitioned as the case may be" and as such the properties were partitioned before the appointed day and before the commencement of the act, her daughter's share shall be excluded from the total holding, if it is so, there will not be any surplus portion as per the act.

5. Whereas, after conducting due enquiry under section 9(6) of the Act, the Authorised Officer had overruled the objection raised by the assessee on the ground that her daughter Tmt. Jospine Xavery was unmarried as on 24-01-1971 and as per section 2(10), read with section 4(2) of the Act, "Family means, the person, the wife or husband as the case may be and his or her minor sons and unmarried daughters and all the lands held individually by the member of a family or jointly by some or all the members of such family shall be deemed to be held by the family." Hence, the Authorised Officer stated that the property standing in the name of her unmarried daughter Jospine Xavery had been rightly included in the holdings of the family as per the Act.

6. Whereas, the Government *vide* G.O. Ms. No. 1, dated 01-01-1976 had approved to notify the final statement under section 17(1) of the Act in Form-13 and the Authorised had effected publication in the Extraordinary Gazette No. 9, dated 12-01-1976 notifying that the surplus land specified in the below Schedule is required for a public purpose and accordingly, the notification was served to the assessee and also published in the notice-board of Villianur Commune Panchayat and Villianur Sub-Taluk Office as a information to the public that the surplus land is required for public purpose.

THE SCHEDULE

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Serial Number | : 1 |
| 2. Name and address of the Holder of the Surplus land | : Tmt. Pauline Xavery, w/o. Raymond Xavery, No.73-A, Nidarajapayar Street, Puducherry. |
| 3. Region | : Puducherry |
| 4. Taluk | : Villianur Sub-Taluk |
| 5. Village | : Thirukanchi |

Survey No.	Government or Inam	Wet or Dry	Extent (H-A-C)	Assessment (₹ P)	Boundaries
6	7	8	9	10	11
219 – bis 1/2 pt.	----	Dry	1-64-25	8.43	N : 339,338 and 219 – bis 2/2 S : Canal E : 214 – bis, 212 – bis and 211 – bis W: 339

7. And whereas, Aggrieved by the order of the Authorized Officer, the assessee had preferred appeal before the Land Tribunal in LTCMA 3 of 1976. The Land Tribunal in it's order, dated 10-03-1976 allowed the appeal and ordered as follows:

"The lands held by Josseline Marie Savary, daughter of Pauline Savary and wife of Jean Mare Rene Ambou as contained in Part-III of the Partition Deed, dated 23-12-1968 are ordered to be excluded from the lands of Mrs. Pauline Savary".

8. And whereas, the Authorized Officer against the LTCMA order, preferred Civil Revision Petition before the Hon'ble High Court, Chennai *vide* SR. No. 41210 of 1976.

9. And whereas, the Authorised Officer issued proclamation under Rule 22 of the Pondicherry Land Reforms (fixation of ceiling on land and disposal of surplus lands) Rules, 1975 that the surplus lands acquired to an extent of 1-64-25 Ha., equivalent to 0.5475 Standard Hectares in Survey Number 219-bis 1/2 pt. (Correlating to R.S. No. 99/2A/1) of Thirukanchi Revenue Village are declared as surplus and it is required for public purpose and deemed to be vested with the Government free from all encumbrances *vide* order, dated 22-09-2009 of the Authorised Officer (Land Reforms).

10. And whereas, aggrieved by the proclamation order of the Authorized Officer, a Writ Petition in W.P.No. 8080 of 2012 has been filed by the purchaser of the surplus land Thiru P.A. Vasudevan, challenging the proclamation order proceedings of the Authorised Officer, dated 22-09-2009. Wherein, the Hon'ble Madras High Court has ordered as follows in its judgment, dated 26-08-2021.

“Accordingly the order, dated 22-09-2009 passed by the third respondent in proceedings No. 2978/SCRS/LR/C1/2008-09 is hereby quashed. The respondents are directed to restore the revenue records in favour of the petitioner herein within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of a copy of this Order”.

11. And whereas, the Additional Government Pleader for Puducherry, High Court, Chennai, has given the legal opinion as follows:

“It is not a fit case for filing Writ Appeal. However, in the event of successfully tracing the status of Civil Revision petition and found pending with the Hon'ble High Court, writ appeal or review can be filed against the order, dated 26-08-2021 passed in W.P No. 8080 of 2012”.

12. And whereas, the status of civil revision petition couldn't be traced in this office and in the office of the Government Pleader for Puducherry, High Court, Chennai, and also unlikely to trace it in the registry as the case is a very old one almost 4½ decades old.

13. And whereas, Law Department, Government of Puducherry, has opined as follows:

“it is pertinent to state that due to non-preference of appeal in the said Land Reforms case, the order of the Land Tribunal has attained finality and continue to prevail as on date and binding on the respondents. Hence, this Department is of the view that there is no scope for filing an appeal against the order of the Hon'ble Single Judge in the abovesaid Writ Petition. In view of the above, the order of the Single Judge, Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras may be compiled with by restoring the revenue records in favour of the petitioner.”

14. Now, therefore, in compliance with the LTCMA order, dated 10-03-1976 and order, dated 26-08-2021 of the Hon'ble Madras High Court and in view of the facts stated above, I, Rishita Gupta, I.A.S., Sub-Collector (Revenue) South-cum-Authorised Officer (Land Reforms), hereby order that the Land Reforms proceedings initiated against Tmt. Pauline Xavery, w/o. Raymond Xavery of Thirukanchi Revenue Village under the Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1973, shall be treated as withdrawn.

RISHITA GUPTA, I.A.S.,
Authorised Officer (Land Reforms).

புதுச்சேரி அரசு

இந்து சமய நிறுவனங்கள் மற்றும் வக்ஃபு துறை

(அரசு ஆணை பலவகை எண் 23/இசநி./கோ.4/2022/94,
புதுச்சேரி, நாள் 2022 வரூப மே மீ 04 உ)

ஆணை

புதுச்சேரி. முத்துமாரியம்மன் கோயில் வீதி. அருள்மிகு முத்துமாரியம்மன் தேவஸ்தானத்திற்கு. அரசு ஆணை பலவகை எண் 14/இசநி./கோ.4/2016. நாள் 02-09-2016-ன் மூலம் ஓர் அறங்காவலர் வாரியம் அமைக்கப்பட்டது. இவ்வறங்காவலர் வாரியத்தின் பதவிக்காலம் முடிவடைந்துவிட்டது.

2. மேலும், ஆலயத்தை செம்மையாக நிர்வகிக்கும் பொருட்டு வேறு ஓர் புதிய அறங்காவலர் வாரியம் அமைத்து நிர்வகிப்பது இன்றியமையாதது என்று அரசால் கருதப்படுகிறது.

3. எனவே, 1972-ஆம் ஆண்டு. புதுச்சேரி இந்து சமய நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டம் 4(1)-ஆம் பிரிவின்கீழ் வழங்கப்பட்டுள்ள அதிகாரங்களைச் செலுத்தி, புதுச்சேரி. முத்துமாரியம்மன் கோயில் வீதி. அருள்மிகு முத்துமாரியம்மன் தேவஸ்தானத்திற்கு. பின்வரும் ஐந்து நபர்களைக் கொண்ட ஓர் அறங்காவலர் வாரியத்தை அரசு உடனடியாக அமைக்கிறது:-

- (1) திரு. கோதண்டபாணி, . . தலைவர்
த/பெ. முனுசாமி,
எண் 72, அக்காசாமி மடம் வீதி,
வ.உ.சி. நகர், முத்தியாஸ்பேட்டை,
புதுச்சேரி.
- (2) திருமதி கலைவாணி, . . துணைத்
க/பெ. உதயகுமார், தலைவர்
எண் 11, 2-வது குறுக்கு தெரு,
அப்பாவு நகர், வாழைக்குளம்,
புதுச்சேரி.
- (3) திரு. சுரேஷ், . . செயலாளர்
த/பெ. ராஜீ,
எண் 49, செட்டி தெரு,
புதுச்சேரி.
- (4) திரு. அழகுபிள்ளை (எ) ராஜேஷ், . . பொருளாளர்
த/பெ. சுந்தரமூர்த்தி,
எண் 100, பெருமாள் கோவில் வீதி,
புதுச்சேரி.
- (5) திரு. சகாயநாதன் பாஸ்கே, . . உறுப்பினர்
த/பெ. பாஸ்கே சாம்பசிவம்,
எண் 67, மேட்டு தெரு,
குருசுக்குப்பம்,
புதுச்சேரி.

4. புதிய அறங்காவலர் வாரியம் உடனடியாக திருக்கோயில் நிருவாகத்தை அதன் அசையும், அசையாச் சொத்துக்கள் மற்றும் இதர ஆவணங்களுடன் பதவி விலகும் அறங்காவலர் வாரியத்திடமிருந்து ஏற்றுக்கொள்ளுமாறு அறிவுறுத்தப்படுகிறது.

5. 1972-ஆம் ஆண்டு, புதுச்சேரி, இந்து சமய நிறுவனங்கள் சட்டம் மற்றும் அதன்கீழ் இயற்றப்பட்ட விதிகளுக்குட்பட்டு, அறங்காவலர் வாரியம் திருக்கோயிலை நிர்வகிக்கக் கடமைப்பட்டது ஆகும். மேலும், நிர்வாகத்தினர் கடைபிடிக்க வேண்டிய சில முக்கிய பணிகள் கீழே கொடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளன.

(i) கோயிலுக்குச் சொந்தமான காலி மனைகள். கோயிலை சுற்றியுள்ள இடங்கள் மற்றும் கோயில் குளங்களை தூர்வாருதல்/சுத்தம் செய்தல் இவைகளை உள்ளடக்கிய ஓர் ஆண்டறிக்கையினை சமர்ப்பித்தல் வேண்டும்.

(ii) நன்கொடையாளர்களால் மேற்கொள்ளப்படும் பணிகளை நிறைவேற்ற முழு மூச்சுடன் ஈடுபடுத்தல் வேண்டும்.

(iii) ஒவ்வொரு வருடத்திற்குமான உத்தேச வரவு, செலவு கணக்குகள் மார்ச் மாத காலத்திற்குள் சமர்ப்பித்தல் வேண்டும்.

(iv) கோயில் வரவு, செலவு கணக்குகளை முறையாக பராமரித்தல் மற்றும் அக்கணக்கினை ஆண்டுக்கு ஒருமுறை கணக்கு மற்றும் கருவிலகத் துறை மூலம் தணிக்கை செய்தலை உறுதி செய்யவேண்டும்.

(v) அறங்காவலர் வாரியம் தனது கடமைகளையும், பொறுப்புகளையும் இந்து சமய ஆலய விதிகள், 1975-ன் பிரிவு 5-இன் படி செவ்வனே நிறைவேற்றுதல் வேண்டும்.

6. அறங்காவலர் வாரியத்தின் பதவிக்காலம் இவ்வரசாணை பிறப்பிக்கப்பட்ட தேதியிலிருந்து மூன்று ஆண்டுகள் ஆகும். இதற்கிடையில் அரசு வாரியத்தை நீக்கினால் தவிர அல்லது தகுதி இழக்கச் செய்தால் தவிர அல்லது வாரிய உறுப்பினர்கள் தங்களது பதவிகளை இராஜினாமா செய்யுங்கால் அவர்களின் இராஜினாமாவை அரசு ஏற்றுக்கொண்டால் தவிர, வாரியம்/வாரிய உறுப்பினர்கள் பதவியில் இருப்பதாகக் கருதப்படும்.

(துணைநிலை ஆளுநரின் ஆணைப்படி)

அ. சிவசங்கரன்,
அரசு சார்புச் செயலர் (கோயில்கள்).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
DEPARTMENT OF HINDU RELIGIOUS
INSTITUTIONS AND WAKF

(G.O. Ms. No. 24/CHRI/T.2/2022/88,
Puducherry, dated 4th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, Sri Venkateswara Devasthanam, Group of Temple, Yanam, was administered by Thiru Shivaraj Meena, then Regional Administrator, Yanam vide Order No. 1221/Secretary (TCP, HSG and HRI)/2020 dated, 24-08-2020 of the Secretary, Hindu Religious Institutions, Puducherry.

2. And whereas, it is necessitated for the constitution of a new Board of Trustees to administer the affairs of the said Devasthanam effectively.

3. Now therefore, in exercise of the power conferred under sub-section (1) & (1A) of section 4 of the Puducherry Hindu Religious Institution Act, 1972, the Government hereby constitute immediately the Boards of Trustees to Sri Venkateswara Devasthanam, Group of Temple, Yanam, as follows:-

- (1) Thiru Kapaganti Uma Shankar, . . President
S/o. Kapaganti Pullaiah,
No. 1-534, Radha Nagar,
Yanam-533 464.
- (2) Thiru Yalla Meerayya, . . Vice-
S/o. Yalla Venkata Reddy, President
D. No. 2-3-005, Ambedkar Nagar,
Yanam-533 464.
- (3) Thiru Akula Nageswara Rao, . . Secretary
S/o. Narayana,
No. 2/7, 008, Golagabathulavari
Street, Yanam-533 644.
- (4) Thiru Kada Venkateswara Rao, . . Treasurer
S/o. K. Vadapatti,
D. No. 4-558, Kakivari Street,
Yanam-533 464.

- (5) Tmt. Gandham Mahalakshmi, . . . Member
W/o. Gandham Sankara Rao,
4-7-018, Sitarama Street,
Yanam-533 464.

5. The Board shall administer the said Devasthanam as envisaged in the provisions of the Puducherry Hindu Religious Institutions Act, 1972, and the rules framed thereunder. Further some important duties and responsibilities of Trustees are given below:

(i) Submission of Annual Report by the Trustees for maintenance of the temples as mandated under rule 13 including vacant areas adjacent and belonging to the temple and desilting/cleaning of temple ponds.

(ii) Make efforts to undertake works under Shramdaan as per rule 13 (9).

(iii) Timely submission of annual budget as per section 13 of the Act.

(iv) Maintenance of accounts and carrying out of audit, as contained in sections 14 to 17 of the Act.

(v) Shall render such duties as may be entrusted and contained in rule 5.

6. The trustees of the abovesaid Board shall hold office for a term of three years from the date of issue of this order unless they are removed or disqualified or their resignations are accepted of otherwise they cease to be the Members of the Board.

(By order of the Lieutenant-Governor)

A. SIVASANKARAN,
Under Secretary to Government (Temples).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
**DEPARTMENT OF HINDU RELIGIOUS
INSTITUTIONS AND WAQF**

(G.O. Ms. No. 26/US(Waqf)/T.10/2022/95,
Puducherry, dated 09th May 2022)

ORDER

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 2 of the Kazis Act, 1880 (Central Act XII of 1880), the Lieutenant-Governor, Puducherry, hereby appoints Shri. P. Mohamed Sultan Maricar, son of Packir Shaib Maricar, residing at No. 65, Duplex Street, Karaikal, to be another Kazi for Karaikal of the Union territory of Puducherry until further orders.

2. The Kazi shall ensure that all records shall properly be maintained.

(By order of the Lieutenant-Governor)

A. SIVASANKARAN,
Under Secretary to Government (Waqf).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
DIRECTORATE OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
(SECRETARIAT WING)

(G.O. Ms. No. 02, Puducherry, dated 09th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation the following Vice-Principals are admitted into retirement with effect from the afternoon of 31-05-2022.

Sl. No.	Name of the Vice-Principal	Name of the Institution
(1)	(2)	(3)
<i>Thiru/Tmt.:</i>		
1	M. Rajasekaran	Theerar Sathiamurthy Government Higher Secondary School, Murungapakkam, Puducherry.
2	S. Satyavathi	M.O.H. Farook Maraicar Government Girls' Higher Secondary School, Kalapet, Puducherry.
3	B. Bhanumathi	Kannagi Government Girls' Higher Secondary School, Villianur, Puducherry.
4	R. Parthiban	Indira Gandhi Government Higher Secondary School, Indira Nagar, Puducherry.

(By order)

V. SOUMYA,
Under Secretary to Government
(School Education).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 70/Lab./AIL/T/2022,
Puducherry, dated 10th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute has arisen between the management of M/s. Larsen & Toubro Private Limited, (Timber Shop Unit) Karasur, Puducherry and L&T Jananayaga Thozhilalargal Sangam, over illegal retrenchment of 129 workers at L&T (Timber Shop Unit), Karasur, in respect of the matter mentioned in the Annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated *vide* G.O. Ms. No. 20/91/Lab./L, dated 23-5-1991, of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

ANNEXURE

(a) Whether any employer - employee relationship exists between the 129 retrenched workmen (Annexure-A) and the management of M/s. Larsen & Toubro Private Limited (Timber Shop Unit), Karasur, Puducherry?

(b) Whether the dispute raised by the Petitioner's Union L&T Jananayaga Thozhilalargal Sangam (Affiliated with AICCTU), over retrenchment of 129 workers (as mentioned in the Annexure) during the year 2018 by the management of M/s. Larsen & Toubro Private Limited (Timber Shop Unit), Karasur, Puducherry, is legal and justified? If, justified, give appropriate directions?

(c) To what relief the 129 retrenched workmen, represented by the L&T Jananayaga Thozhilalargal Sangam (Affiliated with AICCTU), are entitled to?

(d) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed?

(By order)

D. MOHAN KUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

ANNEXURE

List of 129 Retrenched Workmen

Sl. No.	Name of the Contract Workmen
(1)	(2)
1	S. Sridhar
2	I. Anandhan

(1)	(2)
3	I. Kalaivanan
4	S. Baskar
5	D. Murugan
6	C. Ayyanar
7	J. Dipankar Manna
8	M. Kishor Kumar Sety
9	B. Tapas Bera
10	Goutham
11	Iyappan
12	R. Rakesh Chandra Sethi
13	Manikandan
14	D. Sunil Kumar Doss
15	P. Krishna Sharma
16	A. Swapan Nayak
17	Rajendrakumar
18	S. Ponnidoss
19	P. Iyyappan
20	Venketesan
21	Arumugam
22	D. Palanivel
23	V. Senthil
24	R. Surajith Bera
25	L. Jakesh Kumar
26	L. Ramesh Sing
27	N. Goutam Pahari
28	Suny Kumar
29	Arul Kumar
30	R. Rinku Baral
31	Murugavel
32	K. Ranjithkumar
33	T. Sanmugarajan
34	Bijayakumar Mallik (Shesadeb Sethy)
35	R. Raja Baral
36	R. Perumal
37	M. Ramakrishnan
38	R. Yuvaraj
39	V. Velu
40	A. Gangachalam
41	M. Ramar
42	S. Dhineshkumar

(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
43	C. Veeramuthu	82	A. Dipakumar Nayak
44	R. Kaliyamoorthy	83	Prasanjit Bhuniya
45	S. Giridarikumar Bhara	84	Ramkumar Sundar
46	P. Gajindra Gadatya	85	R. Suresh
47	R. Srimata Maity	86	S. Ranjan Kumar
48	Ramasamy	87	B. Sunakar Swain
49	Ilayaraj	88	R. Rakesh Lenke
50	N. Biswanath Das	89	K. Manikkannan
51	R. Rajkishor Nayak (Raju Naik)	90	S. Dhivyanathan
52	Seenuvasan	91	P. Govindhan
53	Gugan	92	K. Prabakaran
54	Arun	93	S. Sakthivel
55	Thiilai Govindan	94	Abhimanu Das
56	K. Anbarasan	95	Bakiya Raj
57	A. Peeterpandyaraj	96	Senthil
58	P. Iyyanar	97	Narayanasamy
59	D. Karthikeyan	98	Senthil
60	R. Kartik Chandra Das	99	V. Gopalakrishnan
61	P. Sukdevmirdha	100	G. Upendra Mohanthy
62	K. Arabinda Maity	101	S. Ilayaraja
63	B. Ratan Miridha	102	P. Chandrakumar
64	Gurai Bera	103	A. Sukadevmirdha
65	Kamal Kurla	104	P. Suni Suter
66	S. Murugaiyan	105	A. Arjun Luha
67	D. Deventhiran	106	Sasidharan
68	Lenin	107	Sundaran Krishnan
69	Sudhakar	108	Subash
70	G. Natarajan	109	Balaji
71	I. Munusamy	110	Surya
72	Balamurugan (Neelamegam)	111	K. Tamizharasan
73	D. Moorthy	112	A. Elumalai
74	R. Suresh	113	Pradap
75	K. Jeyakumar	114	P. Babu
76	K. Sundharajan	115	P. Anilsutar
77	S. Raja	116	T. Tapas Kapat
78	S. Rajakumar	117	Mohan Raj
79	E. Gnanaprakasam	118	Sathish
80	P. Kumar	119	D. Arul (Arumugam)
81	A. Shivkumar	120	M. Jagathesan

(1)	(2)
121	K. Vijayakumar
122	N. Velmurugan
123	P. Dhanajeyan
124	Ashok
125	Ulaganathan
126	Jayakumar
127	A. Sudhakar
128	S. Sathish
129	N. Elayaraja

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 71/Lab./AIL/T/2022,
Puducherry, dated 10th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute has arisen between the management of M/s. Larsen & Toubro Private Limited, Karasur, Puducherry and L&T Jananayaga Thozhilalargal Sangam (Affiliated with AICCTU), over closure of Timber Shop Unit at Karasur in respect of the matter mentioned in the Annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated *vide* G.O. Ms. No. 20/91/Lab./L, dated 23-5-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

ANNEXURE

(a) Whether any employer - employee relationship exists between 57 workmen affected due to closure (as mentioned in the Annexure) and the management of M/s. Larsen & Toubro Private Limited Timber Shop Unit, Karasur, Puducherry?

(b) Whether the dispute raised by the Petitioner's Union L&T Jananayaga Thozhilalargal Sangam, over closure of Larsen & Toubro Private Limited, Timber Shop Unit at Karasur Village, Puducherry, with effect from 10-02-2021 is legal and justified? If justified, to give appropriate directions?

(c) To what relief the 57 workmen affected due to closure of the Timber Shop Unit represented by the L&T Jananayaga Thozhilalargal Sangam (Affiliated with AICCTU) are entitled to?

(d) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed?

(By order)

D. MOHAN KUMAR,
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

ANNEXURE

List of 57 Workmen Affected due to Closure

Sl. No.	Name of the Workmen
(1)	(2)
1	P. Selvam
2	V. Thiagarajan
3	D. Karunamoorthi
4	S. Gurumoorthy
5	R. Pattabi
6	S. Mohan Dass
7	S. Pachamuthu
8	R. Elumalai
9	A. Muthaiyan
10	R. Ayyanar, S/o. Ramachandran
11	P. Somasuntharam
12	T. Mohan Doss
13	V. Veerappan
14	A. Pilvendiran
15	E. Palani
16	G. Prabakaran
17	R. Ayyanar, S/o. Rajalingam

(1)	(2)
18	K. Gnanapragasam
19	G. Dhanasekaran
20	G. Sakthivel
21	H. Sankar
22	M. Suresh
23	V. Azhagammal
24	M. Ganga
25	D. Banumathi
26	T. Valarmathi
27	M. Mangavartha
28	R. Kullapattu
29	J. Pachaiyammal
30	E. Kullammal
31	N. Meena
32	K. Sithammal
33	K. Navammal
34	M. Chandira
35	G. Porselvi
36	K. Anjalachi
37	M. Kala
38	P. Neela
39	R. Kanniyammal
40	S. Rukmani
41	K. Chanthira
42	K. Jayabharathi
43	A. Valli
44	M. Thamarai Selvi
45	S. Anandhi
46	A. Sumathi
47	A. Saroja
48	A. Mani
49	Mani
50	Pondiayan
51	Kumar
52	Sadhasivam
53	Dhayalan
54	Moovendhan
55	Krishnamoorthi
56	Iyyanar
57	Panneerselvam

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
CHIEF SECRETARIAT
(WORKS)

(G.O. Ms. No. 51, Puducherry, dated 11th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation, Thiru V. Chitrarasu, Assistant Engineer, holding the current duty charge of the post of Executive Engineer and deputed to Puducherry Slum Clearance Board, Puducherry, is admitted into retirement on the afternoon of 31-05-2022.

(By order)

P. EJOUMALE,
Under Secretary to Government
(Works).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND
ANIMAL WELFARE

No. 2504/DAH & AW/Estt./A1/RTI/2022/425.

Puducherry, dated 11th May 2022.

NOTIFICATION

In supersession of the earlier orders, the Competent Authority, in pursuance of the provision contained in section 5(1) and 5(2) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, has redesignated the following Officers as Public Information Officers and First Appellate Authority in respect of the regions mentioned against them:

Sl. No.	Designation of the Public Information Officer/First Appellate Authority	Region
(1)	(2)	(3)
Public Information Officers		
1	Joint Director, (Livestock Health).	Puducherry region
2	Joint Director, Karaikal.	Karaikal region
3	Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, Mahe.	Mahe region
4	Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, Yanam.	Yanam region
First Appellate Authority		
	Director	Puducherry, Karaikal, Mahe and Yanam regions.

(By order)

DIRECTOR.

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 72/AIL/Lab./T/2022,
Puducherry, dated 13th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government of Puducherry *vide* G.O. Rt. No. 82/AIL/Lab./T/2021, dated 14-12-2021 referred an industrial dispute between the management of M/s. Hindusthan National Glass and Industries Limited, Sedarapet, Puducherry and its Union Workmen represented by Hindusthan National Glass Employees Welfare Union – INTUC, Puducherry and the HNG Industries Thozhilalar Nala Sangam, affiliated with CITU, Mudaliarpet, Puducherry, over matters concerning strike, lockout, wage revision and alterations of service conditions;

And whereas, *vide* Proceedings No. 333/JUD/IT-CUM-LC/PDY/2021, dated 23-12-2021, the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Judicial Department, Puducherry, stated that the reliefs prayed for in the Industrial Disputes raised between management of M/s. Hindusthan National Glass and Industries Limited, Sedarapet, Puducherry and the Union Workmen represented by Hindusthan National Glass Employees Welfare Union – INTUC, Puducherry and the HNG Industries Thozhilalar Nala Sangam, affiliated with CITU, Mudaliarpet, Puducherry, are clubbed together as a single dispute in the above notification and directed to issue separate notification in respect of the dispute raised to the concerned Tribunal/Court;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated *vide* G.O. Ms .No. 20/9/Lab./L., dated 23-05-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), and in supersession of the G.O. Rt. No. 82/AIL/Lab./T/2021, dated 14-12-2021, save as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the dispute mentioned below in the annexure, be referred to the Honorable Labour Court, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Honorable Labour Court, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of

reliance and witnesses to the Labour Court, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

ANNEXURE

(i) Whether the dispute raised by the management of M/s. Hindusthan National Glass and Industries Limited, Sedarapet, Puducherry, against the Union Workmen represented by (i) Hindusthan National Glass Employees Welfare Union – INTUC, Puducherry and (ii) HNG Industries Thozhilalar Nala Sangam, – (CITU), Puducherry, over strike is justified or not? If justified, what relief the management is entitled to?

(ii) Whether declaring lock out to all permanent workmen under section 24 (3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and thereafter functioning of the factory with staff/contract labourers is justified or not? If justified, what relief the permanent workmen are entitled to?

(iii) Whether alteration of service conditions of 47 workmen during the pendency of conciliation proceedings which is in violation of section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is justified or not? If justified, what relief the 47 workmen are entitled to?

(iv) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed.

(By order)

D. MOHAN KUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 73/Lab./AIL/T/2022,
Puducherry, dated 13th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Swadeshi Panchalai Thozhilalar Urimai Padukappu Sangam and Sri Bharathi Mills Thozhilalar Urimai Padukappu Sangam filed Writ Petition Nos. 5360 and 5365 of 2021 before the Honorable High Court Judicature at Madras, over closure of the two Mills, *viz.*, Swadeshi Cotton Mill and M/s. Sri Bharathi Mills;

2. And whereas, the Honorable High Court *vide* order, dated 31-12-2021 allowed the Writ Petitions and both the impugned notice, dated 29-09-2020 were set aside with the direction that amount already received

under protest shall be adjusted and the remaining amount shall be paid within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order and that in the meanwhile, it is open to the employer to make afresh application for closure of the Undertaking in terms of the provisions of the Act, if so advised, after complying with the mandatory statutory provisions and after the waiting period, if any, provided under the Act, the matter may be taken up by the Authority concerned and that the Court, is of the view that Corporation is a part of the Government of Puducherry and in case any closure application is filed, it can be scrutinized by the concerned authority and the matter can be straight away referred to a Tribunal, so that, instead of two adjudications, namely, one before the Authority and the other one before the Tribunal, a comprehensive decision can be taken;

3. And whereas, the management of M/s. Swadeshee – Bharathee Textile Mills Limited *vide* Letter No. SBTML/WP 5360 & 5365 of 2021/2203, dated 01-04-2022, filled Form – “Q” under section 25FFA of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 towards the Closure of M/s. Swadeshee – Bharathee Textile Mills Limited, Puducherry;

4. And whereas, in the opinion of the Government, it is necessary to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated *vide* G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L., dated 23-05-1991, of the Labour Department, Puducherry to exercise the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said dispute be referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses to the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

ANNEXURE

(a) Whether the notice of closure given by the management of M/s. Swadeshee – Bharathee Textile Mills Limited, in respect of 42 employees is justified or not? If justified, what relief/remedies they are entitled to?

(b) Whether the list of 42 employees identified by the management of M/s. Swadeshee – Bharathee Textile Mills Limited, may be treated as the employees who have protested the closure of the two mills; and

(c) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed.

(By order)

D. MOHAN KUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 74/AIL/Lab./T/2022,
Puducherry, dated 13th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the Government of Puducherry *vide* G.O. Rt. No. 82/AIL/Lab./T /2021, dated 14-12-2021 referred an industrial dispute between the management of M/s. Hindusthan National Glass and Industries Limited, Sedarapet, Puducherry and its Union Workmen represented by Hindusthan National Glass Employees Welfare Union – INTUC, Puducherry and the HNG Industries Thozilalar Nala Sangam, affiliated with CITU, Mudaliarpet, Puducherry, over matters concerning strike, lockout, wage revision and alterations of service conditions;

And whereas, *vide* Proceedings No. 333/JUD/IT-CUM-LC/PDY/2021, dated 23-12-2021, the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Judicial Department, Puducherry, stated that the reliefs prayed for in the industrial disputes raised between management of M/s. Hindusthan National Glass and Industries Limited, Sedarapet, Puducherry and Union Workmen represented by Hindusthan National Glass Employees Welfare Union – INTUC, Puducherry and the HNG Industries Thozhilalar Nala Sangam, affiliated with CITU, Mudaliarpet, Puducherry, are clubbed together as a single dispute in the above notification and directed to issue separate notification in respect of the dispute raised to the concerned Tribunal/Court.

Now, therefore, by virtue of the authority delegated *vide* G.O. Ms. No. 20/9/Lab./L., dated 23-05-1991 of the Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), and in supersession of the G.O. Rt. No. 82/AIL/Lab./T/2021, dated 14-12-2021, save as respects things

done or omitted to be done before such supersession, it is hereby directed by the Secretary to Government (Labour) that the dispute mentioned below in the Annexure, be referred to the Honorable Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, for adjudication. The Honorable Industrial Tribunal shall submit the Award within 3 months from the date of issue of reference as stipulated under sub-section (2-A) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and in accordance with rule 10-B of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957. The party raising the dispute shall file a statement of claim complete with relevant documents, list of reliance and witnesses, with the Industrial Tribunal, Puducherry, within 15 days of the receipt of the order of reference and also forward a copy of such statement to each one of the opposite parties involved in the dispute.

ANNEXURE

(a) Whether the dispute raised by the Union Workmen represented by (i) Hindusthan National Glass Employees Welfare Union – INTUC, Puducherry and (ii) HNG Industries Thozhilalar Nala Sangam, Puducherry – CITU against the management of M/s. Hindusthan National Glass and Industries Limited, Sedarapet, Puducherry, over charter of demands/wage revision is justified or not? If justified, what relief the Union Workmen are entitled to?

(b) To compute the relief if any, awarded in terms of money if, it can be so computed.

(By order)

D. MOHAN KUMAR,

Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

(G.O. Rt. No. 75/Lab./G/2022,
Puducherry, dated 13th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

Whereas, the managements of M/s. Shanmuga Cinemas, M/s. JR Cinemas, M/s. Ashok Theatre A/C, M/s. The Cinema Providence, M/s. Raja Talkies and M/s. Rathnaa Talkees, Puducherry, have applied for grant of exemption from certain provisions of the Puducherry Shops and Establishments Act, 1964, to conduct special morning shows on account of screening new films;

And whereas, the Lieutenant-Governor, Puducherry, has by Notification in G.O. Ms. No. 9/95/Lab., dated 07-04-1995 under sub-section (1) of section 52 of the Pondicherry Shops and Establishments Act, 1964, authorized the Secretary to Government, Labour Department, Puducherry, to exercise the powers of the Government under section 6 of the said Act, relating to exemption;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the said Act, the Secretary to Government, Labour Department, Puducherry, hereby exempts M/s. Shanmuga Cinemas, M/s. JR Cinemas, M/s. Ashok Theatre A/C, M/s. The Cinema Providence, M/s. Raja Talkies and M/s. Rathnaa Talkees, Puducherry, from the provisions of sections 16(1), 17(1), 17(2) and 18 of the Pondicherry Shops and Establishments Act, 1964, for conducting special morning shows as per Table below:

TABLE

Sl. No. (1)	Name of the theatre (2)	Name of the movie (3)	Date and time of screening (4)
1	M/s. Shanmuga Cinemas, Screen-1 and 2, Puducherry.	Don	13-05-2022 to 15-05-2022 @ 5.30 a.m. and 8.30 a.m. (4 shows).
2	M/s. JR Cinemas, Screen-1 and 2, Puducherry.	Don	13-05-2022 @ 5.00 a.m and 8.00 a.m. 14-05-2022 and 15-05-2022 @ 8.00 a.m.
3	M/s. Ashok Theatre A/C, Villianur, Puducherry.	Don	13-05-2022 @ 5.00 a.m. 13-05-2022 to 15-05-2022 @ 9.00 a.m.
4	M/s. The Cinema Providence, (Screen – 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) Puducherry.	Doctor Strange and Don	13-05-2022 to 15-05-2022 @ 7.30 a.m.
5	M/s. Raja Talkies A/C, Puducherry.	Don	13-05-2022 @ 5.00 a.m. and 9.00 a.m.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
6 M/s. Rathnaa Talkees, Pududcherry.	Don		13-05-2022 @ 5.00 a.m. and 8.00 a.m. 14-05-2022 and 15-05-2022 @ 8.00 a.m.

The conduct of the special shows shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. Every person employed shall be paid overtime wages for the period of work done by him in excess of 8 hours in a week.
2. The persons employed shall be given rest interval of atleast half-an-hour for every four hours of work.
3. The management should obtain permission from the authorities concerned wherever necessary.
4. In case, the said screening of the new film does not take place, this notification shall have no effect.
5. The SOPs issued in respect of COVID-19 like wearing of mask and other conditions issued by the Department of Revenue and Disaster Management, Puducherry, shall be strictly adhered to.

(By order)

D. MOHAN KUMAR,
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY
CHIEF SECRETARIAT
(HIGHER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION)
(G.O. Ms. No. 09, Puducherry, dated 16th May 2022)

NOTIFICATION

On attaining the age of superannuation the following, Associate Professors are admitted into retirement with effect from the afternoon of 31-05-2022.

Sl. No.	Names of the Associate Professors	Name of the Institution
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	Thiru D. John Sudhakar	Bharathidasan Government College for Women, Puducherry.
2	Dr. M. Mari Bhat	Mahatma Gandhi Government Arts College, Mahe.

(By order)

M.V. HIRAN,
Under Secretary to Government
(Higher and Technical Education).

அரியாங்குப்பம் கொம்ப்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்து, புதுச்சேரி
ஆபத்தான நிறுவனங்கள்

அறிவிப்பு

கீழ்க்குறிப்பிடப்பட்டுள்ள நபர் அரியாங்குப்பம் கொம்ப்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்து எல்லைக்குள் பின்வரும் தொழில் நிறுவனத்தை அமைத்துக்கொள்ள இக்கொம்ப்யூன் பஞ்சாயத்தின் அனுமதி வேண்டுகிறார்.

வரிசை எண்	விண்ணப்பதாரரின் பெயர் மற்றும் முகவரி	நிறுவனம் அமைய உள்ள இடத்தின் முகவரி	உத்தேசிக்கப்பட்டுள்ள நிறுவனத் தயாரிப்பு/ செய்முறை	தேவையான மின் திறன்	ஆட்களின் எண்ணிக்கை
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	திருமதி உமாமகேஸ்வரி, க/பெ. தென்னரசு, மணவெளி வருவாய் கிராமம், ஓடைவெளி வீதி, (திரௌபதியம்மன் கோயில் வீதி), மணவெளி, புதுச்சேரி.	M/s. உமாமகேஸ்வரி பிளவர் மில், மறு அளவை எண் 95/5, மணவெளி வருவாய் கிராமம், ஓடைவெளி வீதி, (திரௌபதியம்மன் கோயில் வீதி), மணவெளி, புதுச்சேரி.	மாவு அறவைக்கூடம்	20.00 H.P.	3 (பணி முறை ஒன்று மட்டும்).